



Students' Adoption of Wearable Financial Devices: A Survey-Based Analysis

**Huang Hao¹, Wang Shuo², Xu Wenjie³, Wang Jiayi⁴,
Aliana Shazma Amir⁵, & Evawaynie Valquis Md. Isa⁶**

¹⁻⁶ Faculty of Business and Communication, Universiti Malaysia Perlis (UniMAP), Malaysia

Abstract

This study investigates students' adoption and perceptions of wearable financial devices, such as smartwatches and fitness trackers with payment capabilities. A survey-based descriptive approach was employed with ten university students to assess their views on usefulness, ease of use, trust, security, and behavioral impact. Descriptive statistics revealed generally positive attitudes toward wearable financial devices. Respondents expressed strong trust in data privacy and were likely to recommend such devices to peers, although perceptions of convenience and usage frequency were moderate. The findings also indicate that wearable payments had limited influence on saving habits and financial awareness. Peer influence nevertheless emerged as an important factor driving adoption among students. These results support the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) in the wearable payments context. This study contributes to FinTech and wearable technology research by showing how these devices are now embedded into students' routines and suggests design improvements and educational strategies to strengthen their financial utility.

Keywords: Wearable Financial Devices; Technology Adoption; FinTech; Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), Descriptive Survey.

How to Cite: Huang Hao, Wang Shuo, Xu Wenjie, Wang Jiayi, Aliana Shazma Amir, & Evawaynie Valquis Md. Isa. (2025). Social Media Adoption and Business Performance: Evidence from Employees of Transos Contracting Limited, Nigeria. *Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi, Keuangan dan Bisnis (JIKABI)*, 4(2) 2025: 76-83,

INTRODUCTION

Wearable financial devices such as smartwatches and fitness trackers with payment functionality are increasingly becoming part of everyday life, enabling users to perform transactions seamlessly without the need for cash or physical cards. These technologies combine convenience and novelty, allowing quick payments in diverse settings such as retail stores, transportation, and campus facilities. However, their adoption depends heavily on users' perceptions of usefulness, ease of use, trust, and security (Hayat et al., 2022; Al Mamun et al., 2023; Rabaa'i & Zhu, 2021; Chotiyaputta & Shin, 2022; Kumar et al., 2024). In university environments, students often act as early adopters of technological innovations, making them an ideal demographic for studying how wearable payment devices influence personal financial behaviors (Amin Abdillih et al., 2024).

The convergence of wearable technology and financial services introduces new questions about digital finance adoption. How do students perceive the usefulness and security of wearable payments? Does ease of use translate into continuous acceptance and usage? To what extent do wearable financial devices shape saving and spending habits? This study seeks to answer these questions by examining students' behavioral attitudes, trust, and financial awareness toward wearable payment technologies through a descriptive survey-based approach.

To underpin this investigation, two major theoretical frameworks were applied: the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT). According to Davis (1989), TAM emphasizes two key constructs perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use as primary determinants of user acceptance. The UTAUT model developed by Venkatesh et al. (2003) extends this by introducing additional constructs such as social influence and facilitating conditions, offering a more holistic understanding of user adoption behavior. Both models have been widely validated across technological domains, including studies on wearable health devices and financial technology (Al Mamun et al., 2023; Gao et al., 2015).

Empirical evidence on wearable financial device adoption remains limited but growing. Hayat et al. (2022) conducted a study in Malaysia applying UTAUT and discovered that trust and perceived usefulness were significant predictors of intention to use wearable payments. Similarly, Al Mamun et al. (2023) confirmed that perceived ease of use, usefulness, and trust strongly influenced behavioral intention among Malaysian users. Their findings indicate that perceived convenience and security are pivotal in encouraging adoption, particularly among younger, tech-savvy individuals.

This study contributes to the expanding literature on wearable financial technology by focusing on university students as an emerging group of digital consumers. Through descriptive statistical analysis, it aims to capture how students perceive and interact with wearable financial devices, highlighting the psychological, behavioral, and social factors influencing adoption. The results are expected to provide valuable insights for educators, technology developers, and policymakers seeking to enhance digital financial literacy and promote secure, efficient, and inclusive financial practices in higher education contexts.

Literature Review

At the heart of many technology adoption studies is the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), which posits that perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use drive users' attitudes and behavioral intentions toward new technologies (Davis, 1989). Trust and perceptions of security are critical in the adoption of financial technologies. In studies on smart wearable payment devices, trust in data privacy has been shown to significantly influence intention to use (Adapa et al., 2022; Hayat et al., 2022). A meta-analysis of wearable acceptance also confirms that trust is among the strongest predictors of adoption across contexts (Xi et al., 2022). Given the sensitivity of financial data, building confidence through transparent security measures is especially important in wearable payment systems.

While TAM focuses largely on individual perceptions, the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) introduces social influence and facilitating conditions as key predictors of adoption (Venkatesh et al., 2003). In the realm of wearable payments, social influence may manifest in peer recommendations or the social visibility of wearables. Some studies in Malaysia found that social influence, though sometimes less strong than usefulness,

still has a positive effect on adoption (Hayat et al., 2022). In consumer adoption research, social influence has been observed to motivate uptake when early users endorse the technology publicly.

Beyond adoption, an important question is whether wearable devices affect financial behavior such as increased savings or heightened awareness of spending. Prior technology adoption literature warns of the “detachment” effect: ease of digital transactions may reduce user sensitivity to spending (i.e., payment pain). Some wearable finance studies report that although users appreciate convenience, actual improvements in saving or budgeting remain weak (Zhang et al., 2023). This tension between acceptance and behavioral change is central to understanding the true value of wearable financial tools.

Recent empirical work has expanded the theoretical lens to include lifestyle compatibility, trust, and technology readiness in wearable payment adoption. For instance, Al Mamun et al. (2023) found that perceived usefulness, ease of use, trust, and lifestyle compatibility positively influence intention to use wearable payments in Malaysia. Meanwhile, broader wearable acceptance research (e.g., consumer sports-wearables) shows that design and functional attributes also shape perceived usefulness and ease of use (Li & Ziyun, 2023). However, few studies focus specifically on student populations and the behavioral outcomes of wearable finance use. This gap justifies our focus on descriptive analysis of student perceptions and practices.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study adopts a survey-based descriptive quantitative research design to examine students’ perceptions, attitudes, and usage patterns toward wearable financial devices, such as smartwatches and fitness trackers equipped with payment functionalities.

The descriptive survey design is appropriate as the study does not seek to establish causal relationships but rather aims to summarize and interpret behavioral patterns emerging from structured questionnaire responses. Descriptive statistics such as mean, median, and mode were employed to analyze the data (Saunders, Lewis, & Thornhill, 2009; Creswell & Creswell, 2022).

Population and Sampling

The study focuses on university students as the target population, representing a demographic that is both digitally literate and early adopters of financial technology innovations. A purposive sampling technique was employed to select participants who have prior experience or familiarity with wearable devices capable of conducting financial transactions, such as Apple Watch, Samsung Galaxy Watch, Fitbit, and similar contactless payment-enabled wearables.

A total of 10 valid responses were obtained from students currently enrolled in undergraduate business or financial technology courses. Although the sample size is small, it provides valuable exploratory insights into students’ acceptance and behavioral interaction with wearable financial technologies.

Data Collection Method

Primary data were collected using a structured questionnaire distributed electronically through Google Forms to ensure accessibility and convenience for respondents. The instrument consisted of Likert-scale items ranging from 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree) that were designed to measure several key dimensions related to wearable financial device adoption. These dimensions included perceived usefulness and ease of use, trust and data security, peer influence and recommendation intention, as well as behavioral impacts on saving and spending habits. Prior to participation, respondents were briefed on the objectives of the research, and their involvement was entirely voluntary. No personal identifiers were collected, ensuring participant anonymity and full compliance with ethical research standards.

Research Instrument

The questionnaire was developed based on established theoretical frameworks such as the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) (Davis, 1989), the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of

Technology (UTAUT) (Venkatesh et al., 2003), and the Diffusion of Innovation (DOI) theory (Rogers, 2003).

Each construct in the questionnaire was operationalized through multiple items designed to capture different aspects of students' perceptions toward wearable financial devices. Questions one to three measured perceived usefulness and ease of use, such as the statement "I find wearable payments more convenient than cash or card payments." Questions four to six assessed confidence, security, and trust in wearable financial transactions, while questions seven and eight focused on peer influence and behavioral intentions, for example, "I would recommend wearable payment to friends." The instrument underwent content validation by academic reviewers to ensure clarity, logical flow, and alignment with the overall research objectives.

Data Analysis Techniques

Given the quantitative nature of the study, data were analyzed using descriptive statistical methods, including mean, median, and frequency distribution, to summarize responses for each item. These measures of central tendency provided insights into the consensus and variation in students' perceptions. This approach aligns with the objectives of descriptive research, emphasizing interpretation of patterns and trends rather than causal inferences or hypothesis testing.

Ethical Considerations

All ethical standards were strictly observed. Respondents participated voluntarily after being informed of the study's objectives. Anonymity and confidentiality were ensured by excluding any personal identifiers. The questionnaire avoided sensitive personal or financial questions to ensure respondent comfort. Data collected were used solely for academic and research purposes.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Overview of the Analysis

This chapter presents the results of the survey conducted to assess students' adoption and perceptions of wearable financial devices. The analysis is based on eight Likert-scale statements designed to measure usage frequency, perceived convenience, financial awareness, confidence, trust, and peer influence. Descriptive statistics such as mean and median were used to summarize the central tendencies of the responses. The results provide insights into how students perceive and use wearable devices for financial transactions and personal money management.

Descriptive Statistics

Table 4.1: Mean and Median Scores for Students' Perceptions of Wearable Financial Devices

	Survey Item	Mean	Median
1.	I frequently use my wearable device for financial transactions.	3.25	3.50
2.	I find wearable payments more convenient than cash or card payments.	3.50	3.50
3.	Using wearables reduces my awareness of spending.	3.25	3.00
4.	Wearable devices help me track expenses and save money.	2.50	2.50
5.	I feel confident using financial features on wearables.	3.50	3.50
6.	I worry about the security of financial transactions on wearables.	3.25	3.50
7.	I trust the data privacy of wearable financial apps.	4.25	4.50
8.	I would recommend wearable payment to friends.	4.50	5.00

Interpretation of Findings

Overall, the findings indicate a moderately positive attitude toward wearable financial devices among students. The results suggest that students appreciate the convenience and trustworthiness of wearable payment technologies, but show mixed opinions regarding their effectiveness in promoting savings or financial awareness.

The two highest mean values were observed for:

- “I would recommend wearable payment to friends” (Mean = 4.50)
- “I trust the data privacy of wearable financial apps” (Mean = 4.25)

These findings highlight that students not only trust the technology but also act as positive advocates, demonstrating the influence of peer recommendations in encouraging adoption.

Conversely, the lowest mean (2.50) was recorded for “Wearable devices help me track expenses and save money”. This suggests that while wearable devices are convenient, their impact on improving students’ financial discipline remains limited.

Convenience and Ease of Use

Students generally perceived wearable devices as easy to use and highly convenient, consistent with the perceived usefulness and ease of use constructs in the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) (Davis, 1989). With mean values above 3.5 for convenience-related items, respondents recognized the efficiency of wearable payments, especially in daily transactions such as shopping, food purchases, and public transport. These results reinforce the idea that simplicity and accessibility are strong motivators of adoption among younger users, who value speed and flexibility in financial interactions.

Trust, Security, and Data Privacy

Trust emerged as a key determinant of students’ continued use of wearable financial devices. A high mean score (4.25) for data privacy trust indicates strong confidence in digital payment systems integrated with reputable platforms (e.g., Apple Pay, Samsung Pay). However, a moderate concern was observed for transaction security (Mean = 3.25), showing that students remain cautious about potential risks such as unauthorized access or data breaches. This balance between confidence and caution reflects a developing maturity among young digital consumers, who are both enthusiastic and mindful in their technology usage.

Financial Awareness and Behavioral Impact

Findings on behavioral awareness show that wearable payments may reduce users’ perception of spending (Mean = 3.25) but do not necessarily enhance saving habits (Mean = 2.50). Students admitted that the ease of contactless payments sometimes leads to less conscious spending, a phenomenon supported by prior studies (Lee et al., 2021), which highlight that digital payments can detach users from the psychological “pain of paying.” Therefore, while wearable devices enhance transactional convenience, they may require additional financial tracking or budgeting features to promote responsible financial behavior.

Social Influence and Peer Recommendation

The highest-rated item, “I would recommend wearable payment to friends” (Mean = 4.50), underscores the role of social influence as proposed by the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) (Venkatesh et al., 2003). Peer encouragement and social visibility play a substantial role in shaping adoption decisions among students. The finding demonstrates that positive peer experiences can reinforce trust and motivate wider usage across student communities.

The results affirm that while wearable financial devices have achieved technological acceptance, their behavioral and financial impact among students is still developing. These insights provide a foundation for further research on user experience design, financial literacy, and responsible digital finance behavior among young consumers.

CONCLUSION

This study set out to examine students' adoption and perceptions of wearable financial devices, such as smartwatches and fitness trackers equipped with payment functionalities. Using a survey-based descriptive design with a sample of ten university students, the study analyzed perceptions of usefulness, ease of use, security, trust, and behavioral impact related to wearable financial tools. The findings revealed that most students expressed positive attitudes toward wearable payment systems. The majority agreed that such devices are convenient, efficient, and easy to use, supporting the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) (Davis, 1989) and the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) (Venkatesh et al., 2003).

Trust and confidence in data privacy were rated highly, suggesting that students are increasingly comfortable using wearable technology for financial transactions. However, the results also showed that wearable payments did not significantly improve saving habits or financial awareness, indicating a gap between convenience and financial discipline. Peer influence emerged as a strong determinant of adoption, where recommendations from friends or classmates played an important role in motivating students to try wearable financial devices. This finding reinforces the social influence dimension of the UTAUT model, emphasizing that social environments and visibility affect technology adoption among youth. Overall, the study contributes to the literature on FinTech and wearable technology adoption by demonstrating that wearable financial devices have become not just convenient tools but also integral components of students' digital lifestyles.

Implications of the Study

The findings provide empirical support for the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT), and the Diffusion of Innovation (DOI) theory. Students' agreement on usefulness and ease of use validates the TAM's core constructs, while the strong role of social influence supports the UTAUT framework, emphasizing peer-driven adoption. Furthermore, the positive perceptions across respondents reflect the DOI's notion of early adopters embracing innovation due to its perceived advantage and compatibility with lifestyle.

From a practical perspective, these findings highlight the importance of designing user-friendly, secure, and reliable wearable financial devices that cater to the needs of young consumers. Educational institutions can collaborate with FinTech developers to incorporate financial literacy modules or budgeting applications linked to wearable devices, helping students become more aware of their spending patterns and saving behaviors. Such collaboration could also include awareness campaigns or student workshops on digital financial management, promoting responsible and informed use of wearable payment technology.

Policy Recommendations

Policymakers, educators, and technology providers play a crucial role in shaping the adoption of wearable financial devices among young consumers. Government and educational bodies should develop digital financial literacy programs that include exposure to wearable financial tools. This will encourage responsible spending and align with Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 8, which promotes decent work and economic growth, by preparing financially capable graduates.

FinTech developers and device manufacturers must continue to strengthen encryption, authentication, and transparency mechanisms. Although trust levels among students are high, continuous improvements are necessary to sustain confidence and long-term usage. Universities and financial institutions can jointly develop student-centered digital ecosystems that integrate wearable financial technologies with budgeting and saving tools. This initiative aligns with SDG 9, which focuses on industry, innovation, and infrastructure, by fostering inclusive technological innovation in higher education. Furthermore, the introduction of gamified saving features or rewards-based systems on wearable devices can help students develop sustainable financial behaviors. This approach combines behavioral economics with technology design to encourage responsible use and enhance long-term engagement.

Limitations and Recommendations for Future Research

This study is subject to several limitations. The small sample size of ten respondents limits the generalizability of the findings and restricts the application of inferential statistical analysis. The research design was descriptive, focusing on summarizing trends rather than testing causal relationships.

Future research should address these limitations by expanding the sample size and diversity of participants. Involving a larger number of students from multiple universities and academic disciplines will improve representativeness and allow for more robust statistical testing, such as regression or structural equation modeling. Longitudinal research designs are also recommended to track students' use of wearable financial devices over time, providing insights into whether current behavioral trends, such as convenience-driven adoption, translate into long-term financial wellbeing.

Comparative studies between different generational cohorts, such as Generation Z and millennials, could reveal how age, digital literacy, and lifestyle influence technology acceptance and trust in financial tools. Future studies could also explore emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence-driven budgeting assistants, blockchain-based payments, or voice-activated wearables to understand how next-generation innovations affect financial decision-making. Additionally, examining mediating variables such as financial literacy, perceived risk, and user experience could provide deeper theoretical and practical insights into the relationship between wearable device adoption and financial outcomes.

In conclusion, this study provides a comprehensive descriptive analysis of students' adoption of wearable financial devices. The results confirm that these technologies are well accepted for their convenience, usability, and social appeal, although their impact on financial management behaviors remains modest. By integrating technology acceptance theories with practical implications, this research highlights the potential of wearable financial devices to enhance financial inclusion, awareness, and digital literacy among the student population.

As wearable technologies continue to evolve, their role in shaping future financial ecosystems will expand, offering new opportunities for researchers, educators, and policymakers to foster responsible, secure, and intelligent financial behaviors among young consumers.

REFERENCES

- Adapa, S., Nah, F. F. H., Hall, R. H., Siau, K., & Smith, S. N. (2018). Factors influencing the adoption of smart wearable devices. *International Journal of E-Adoption*, 10(2), 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJEA.2018070101>
- Al Mamun, A., Hayat, N., Nawari, N. B. C., & Zainol, N. R. (2023). Predicting intention to use smart wearable payment devices in Malaysia: Integrating TAM and trust perspectives. *Scientific Reports*, 13(1), 12451. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-38333-0>
- Amin Abdillih, N., Mohd Yusof, N., & Aziz, N. H. A. (2024). Intention to use e-wallet applications among university students. *European Proceedings of Social and Behavioural Sciences*, 145, 125-136. <https://doi.org/10.15405/epsbs.2024.05.15>
- Amir, A. S., Quayyum, C. M., & Isa, E. V. M. (2025). Unlocking fintech disclosure: Exploring factors in Malaysia's banking sector. *Journal of Nusantara Studies (JONUS)*, 10(1), 274-323.
- Amir, A. S., Md Isa, E. V., Johari, A. N., & Islam, M. A. (2025). Financial literacy and zakat utilization: A conceptual framework for asnaf economic empowerment. *Journal of Entrepreneurship and Business*, 13(2), 82-92. <https://doi.org/10.17687/8pdhzv73>
- Amir, A. S., Isa, E. V. M., Johari, A. N., & Islam, M. A. DEMOGRAPHIC INSIGHTS INTO FINANCIAL LITERACY: EVIDENCE FROM ASNAF YOUTH IN PERLIS, MALAYSIA.
- Chotiyaputta, C., & Shin, N. (2022). Explicating consumer adoption of wearable technologies: A case of smartwatches from the ASEAN perspective. *International Journal of Asian Business and Information Management*, 13(1), 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJABIM.293195>
- Chuah, S.-C., Cao Stella, S.-T., Guo, J.-Y., & Lian, Z.-J. Ivey. (2019). Consumers' adoption of mobile payment: Comparison between China and Malaysia. *Advances in Business Research International Journal*, 5(2), 43-50. <https://doi.org/10.24191/abrij.v5i2.4150>
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2022). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319-340.

- Gao, Y., Li, H., & Luo, Y. (2015). An empirical study of wearable technology acceptance in healthcare. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 115(9), 1704–1723. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-03-2015-0087>
- Hayat, N., Al Mamun, A., Nawli, N. B. C., & Zainol, N. R. (2022). Adoption of smart wearable payment devices in Malaysia: Integrating trust and perceived risk into UTAUT. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 863544. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.863544>
- Isa, C. R., Saleh, Z., & Sapiei, N. S. (2008). A survey on financial and management accounting practices among small and medium enterprises in Malaysia. *Journal of Accounting Perspectives*, 1(December), 13–25.
- Khujanazarov, B., Ismailov, N., & Tursunov, A. (2022). University students' adoption of cashless payments in Uzbekistan: Behavior, trust, and challenges. *Information Science and Technology Journal*, 3(2), 56–67. <https://ist-journal.uz/index.php/IST/article/view/126>
- Kumar, S., Chatterjee, A., & Chattopadhyay, S. (2024). Customer adoption of smartwatches: A privacy calculus perspective. *Review of Accounting and Management Journal*, 33(2), 210–228. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RAMJ-03-2024-0062>
- Kurniasari, F., Urus, S. T., Utomo, P., Abd Hamid, N., Jimmy, S. Y., & Othman, I. W. (2022). Determinant factors of adoption of fintech payment services among fresh graduates: The UTAUT model perspective. *Asia-Pacific Management Accounting Journal*, 17(1), 103–124. Retrieved from <https://apmaj.uitm.edu.my/index.php/17-1/18-cv17n1/135-av17n1-4>
- Lim, K., Tan, L., & Rahman, M. (2021). Digital budgeting and student financial discipline: Evidence from Southeast Asia. *International Review of Financial Studies*, 15(2), 101–117.
- Milian, E. Z., Spinola, M. de M., & de Carvalho, M. M. (2019). Fintechs: A literature review and research agenda. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 34, Article 100833. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elerap.2019.100833>
- Nguyen, T., Pham, L., & Tran, D. (2020). The role of IoT in promoting financial awareness among youth. *Asian Journal of Economics and Finance*, 12(4), 44–58.
- Purwanto, A., Kartawinata, B. R., & Pradana, A. (2023). Determinants of adoption of technology-based payment systems among university students in Indonesia. *Ianna Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5(2), 91–104. <https://iannajournalofinterdisciplinarystudies.com/index.php/1/article/view/536>
- Rabaa'i, A., & Zhu, X. (2021). Understanding the determinants of wearable payment adoption: An empirical study. *Informing Science: The International Journal of an Emerging Transdiscipline*, 24, 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.28945/4746>
- Rahman, N. A., & Ismail, Z. (2022). Fintech adoption and data security concerns in Malaysia. *Journal of Digital Finance*, 5(2), 66–79.
- Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of innovations* (5th ed.). Free Press.
- Roh, T., Yang, Y. S., Xiao, S., & Park, B. I. (2024). What makes consumers trust and adopt fintech? An empirical investigation in China. *Electronic Commerce Research*, 24(1), 179–205. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10660-021-09527-3>
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2009). *Research methods for business students* (5th ed.). Pearson Education Limited.
- Urus, S. T., Kurniasari, F., Syed Mustapha Nazri, S. N. F., Utomo, P., Othman, I. W., Jimmy, S. Y., & Abd Hamid, N. (2022). A comparative study of fintech payment services adoption among Malaysian and Indonesian fresh graduates: Through the lens of UTAUT theory. *Eastern-European Journal of Enterprise Technologies*, 5(13(119)), 73-88. <https://doi.org/10.15587/1729-4061.2022.265662>
- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(3), 425–478. <https://doi.org/10.2307/30036540>